# **Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)**

#### Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required**.

### **Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan**

- The required goals for elementary/middle schools include the following:
  - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
  - $\circ$  State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
  - Achievement Gap
  - English Learner Progress
  - Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for high schools include the following:
  - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
  - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
  - Achievement Gap
  - English Learner Progress
  - Quality of School Climate and Safety
  - Postsecondary Readiness
  - Graduation Rate

# **Explanations/Directions**

**Goal**: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three to five year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Describe the actionable steps that will occur to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

# 1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

- 10<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards READING Assessment will show 75% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results 10<sup>th</sup> Reading 65% P and D
- 10<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards MATH Assessment will show 62% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results 10<sup>th</sup> Math 57% P and D

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By May 2023, 68% of sophomores will score at or above proficiency on	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction.  All 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> grade English teachers will develop lessons	Ensure time in the PD plan and the PLC process for teachers to develop grade-level-appropriate lessons aligned with the standards. (Opportunity Myth)	Agendas and minutes from PD and PLC meetings	Review developed lesson plans with the department and administration throughout the year.	\$0
the reading KSA.  focused on the KAS.  KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data.	focused on the KAS.	Increase the vertical alignment between 8 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> grade as well as the alignment between the shared 9/10 <sup>th</sup> grade standards and the 11/12 <sup>th</sup> grade standards.	Agendas and minutes from PD and PLC meetings	Review PLC agendas and minutes along with collaborative efforts between the 8th-grade reading and writing teachers and the 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> grade English teachers.	\$0
		Use assessment data from KSA, Mock ACT results, unit assessment results, and 8th-grade MAP data to adjust content and strategies.	Department Data meeting agendas and minutes	Initial data meeting and subsequent meetings in the PLC process to review growth and progress monitoring of targeted instruction.	\$500 potential cost of subs to cover classes
Objective 2 By May of 2023, 60% of sophomores will score at or above proficiency on	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction. All math teachers will develop lessons focused on	Ensure time in the PD plan PLC process for teachers to develop grade-level appropriate lessons aligned to the standards (Opportunity Myth)	Agendas and minutes from PD and PLC meetings	Review developed lesson plans with the department and administration throughout the year.	\$0
the math KSA.	the KAS.	Increase the amount of vertical alignment between 8 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> grade math teachers.	Agendas and minutes from PD and PLC meetings	Review PLC agendas and minutes along with collaborative efforts between the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade, algebra 1, and Geometry teachers	\$0
		Review math curriculum materials used in Algebra, Geometry, and Algebra 2 and determine alignment and effectiveness.	Results showing how closely aligned our current curriculum materials are to state standards.	Complete materials review agenda and results	\$0
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data.	Research, evaluate and select a benchmark testing tool or service to provide progress monitoring math assessments to students in	The securement of a benchmarking tool and the data	Agendas from the data review meetings within the PLC and assessment results as growth charts.	\$2,500 – \$3,500 for a benchmark

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

- 10<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards READING Assessment will show 75% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results 10<sup>th</sup> Reading 65% P and D
- 10<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards MATH Assessment will show 62% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results 10<sup>th</sup> Math 57% P and D

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Algebra 1, Geometry, and Algebra 2 at three	reports gathered from the		testing service and
		different points in the school year.	different testing cycles.		any required PD.
		Use assessment data from KSA, Mock ACT	Department Data meeting	Initial data meeting and subsequent	\$500 potential cost
		results, unit assessment results, and 8th-grade	agendas and minutes	meetings in the PLC process to	of subs to cover
		MAP data to adjust content and strategies.		review growth and progress	classes to facilitate
				monitoring of targeted instruction.	longer department
					data meetings.

### 2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

- 11<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards SCIENCE Assessment will show 23% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results in 11<sup>th</sup> Science 15% P and D
- 11<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards SOCIAL STUDIES Assessment will show 50% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results in 11<sup>th</sup> Science 42% P and D
- 11<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards WRITING ON-DEMAND Assessment will show 55% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results in 11<sup>th</sup> ON-DEMAND 47% P and D

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	PLC time and teacher planning days	PLC and teacher planning day	Ongoing – Classroom walks,	\$0
By May 2023, 18% of juniors will	Standards.	devoted to the alignment of standards	meeting agendas and minutes	formal observations, and PLC	
score at or above proficiency on	Teachers will develop unit plans			agendas	
the KSA Science assessment.	that are aligned to the Kentucky	Utilization of flexible Professional	Professional Development Plan;	Ongoing – Classroom walks,	\$0
	Academic Standards, aligned	Development time devoted to the	meeting agendas and minutes	formal observations, and PLC	
	vertically in scope and	alignment of standards		agendas	
	sequence, and assessments that				
	prepare students for the KSA.				1-
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Adjust the sequence of science classes	The number of juniors who lack	Master Schedule	\$0
	Instruction. All students will have received	to schedule all freshmen into Biology,	completion or enrollment in their third science at the time of		
	appropriate courses by the time	all sophomores into integrated science, and all juniors will take a choice of	administering the KSA.		
	they participate in the junior	chemistry, physics, environmental,	duffillistering the KSA.		
	science test.	physiology, or animal science.			
	Science test.	Review of students each quarter who	The number of students who have	Quarterly data collection by the	\$0
		are academically at risk in science	been exposed to all science classes	administrative team.	
		classes to help them succeed.	before the Junior science assessment.		
		·			
Objective 2	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	PLC time and teacher planning days	PLC and teacher planning day	Ongoing – Classroom walks,	\$0
By May 2023, 45% of juniors will	Standards.	devoted to the alignment of standards	meeting agendas and minutes	formal observations, and PLC	
score at or above proficiency on	Teachers will develop unit plans			agendas	
the KSA Social Studies	aligned to the Kentucky	Utilization of flexible Professional	Professional Development Plan;	Ongoing – Classroom walks,	\$0
assessment.	Academic Standards, aligned	Development time devoted to the	meeting agendas and minutes	formal observations, and PLC	
	vertically in scope and	alignment of standards		agendas	
	sequence, and assessments that				
	prepare students for the KSA.				
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Adjust the sequence of science classes	The number of juniors who lack	Master Schedule	\$0
	Instruction.	to schedule all freshmen into Biology,	completion or enrollment in their		
		all sophomores into integrated science,			

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

- 11<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards SCIENCE Assessment will show 23% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results in 11<sup>th</sup> Science 15% P and D
- 11<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards SOCIAL STUDIES Assessment will show 50% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results in 11<sup>th</sup> Science 42% P and D
- 11<sup>th</sup> grade Kentucky Standards WRITING ON-DEMAND Assessment will show 55% of students at proficient or distinguished 2022 results in 11<sup>th</sup> ON-DEMAND 47% P and D

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	All students will have received	and all juniors will choose chemistry,	third science at the time of		
	appropriate courses by the time	physics, environmental, physiology, or	administering the KSA.		
	they participate in the junior	animal science.			
	science test.	Review of students each quarter who	The number of students who have	Quarterly data collection by the	\$0
		are academically at risk in science	been exposed to all science classes	administrative team.	
		classes to help them succeed.	before the Junior science assessment.		
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Send a team of teachers to schools	Agendas and summaries of school	Agenda and summary of the	\$750 cost to cover
	Standards.	that demonstrated high performance	visits.	program and methods	subs to allow for
		on the KSA to observe curriculum,		implemented at the observed	the release of
		instructional methods, and other		schools.	teachers
		opportunities to supplement our			
		current methods.			
Objective 3	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	All sophomores will be given a mock	Completion of Mock On-Demand for	May 2023	\$500 for Sub to
By May 2023, 50% of juniors will	Standards.	On-Demand writing test. The writing	all Sophomores.		cover classes so
score at or above proficiency on	English Teachers will implement	will be scored by English teachers and	Comparison of scores from		ELA teachers can
the KSA On-Demand writing	authentic on-demand writing	used as a starting point in Composition	sophomore Mock On-Demand to		grade Mock On-
assessment.	exercises during the	Classes the following School Year.	scores junior year.		Demand
	instructional day.	All juniors will be given a mock On-	Completion of Mock On-Demand for	May 2023	\$500
		Demand writing test with feedback	all Sophomores.		
		provided prior to the date of the On-	Comparison of scores from		
		Demand writing test.	sophomore Mock On-Demand to scores junior year.		
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Send a team of teachers to schools	Agendas and summaries of school		\$750 cost to cover
	Standards.	that demonstrated high performance	visits.		subs to allow for
		on the KSA to observe curriculum,			the release of
		instructional methods, and other			teachers
		opportunities to supplement our			
		current methods.			

### 3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 4: Review,	Individual Meetings with all Core Content	Completion of Meetings	December 2021 and January 2022	\$0
WVHS will decrease the percentage	Analyze and Apply	Teachers to identify gap group students.	with agendas and sign-in		
of economically disadvantaged	Data.		sheets		
students scoring novice on the	Gap Group Analysis	Utilize our Flex remediation time to provide	Student classroom	FLEX SOS teacher intervention	A portion of the yearly
following 10 <sup>th</sup> and 11 <sup>th</sup> grade KSA		targeted instruction to students below	grades and assessment	assignments	cost of the SOS
assessments:		benchmark expectations.	results		program
	KCWP 2: Design and	Regular before and after school ESS for	Results of Gap students	Results on KSA data returned to school in	\$0
10 <sup>th</sup> Reading: 23% to 20%	Deliver Instruction	struggling students	on the KSA	September of 2022.	
10 <sup>th</sup> Math: 21% to 18%	KCWP 5: Design,	Removal of barriers through the assistance of	Reduction in missed	FRYSC Advisory Council Meeting Minutes	FRYSC Grant
11 <sup>th</sup> Science: 43% to 39%	Align, and Deliver	our Family Resource and Youth Service	school days for Gap		
11 <sup>th</sup> Social Studies: 47% to 43%	Support	Coordinator by identifying students not having	students.		
11 <sup>th</sup> Combined Writing: 17% to 14%		basic needs met and helping gain access to			
		those needs.			
		Utilize our Flex remediation time to provide	Student classroom	FLEX SOS teacher intervention	A portion of the yearly
		targeted instruction to students below	grades and assessment	assignments	cost of the SOS
		benchmark expectations.	results		program
Objective 2	KCWP 2: Design and	Targeted instruction to a very small group of	Student performance on	Progress monitoring goal work	\$0
WVHS will decrease the percentage	Deliver Instruction	students placed in a highly modified and	benchmark assessments		
of special education students		heavily supported section of English and Math			
scoring novice on the following 10 <sup>th</sup>		Regular before and after school ESS for	Results of Gap students	Results on KSA data returned to school in	\$0
and 11 <sup>th</sup> grade KSA assessments:		struggling students	on the KSA	September of 2022.	
		Continued support of the co-teaching model	Master Schedule reflects	Results of students in the SpEd group and	\$0
10 <sup>th</sup> Reading: 44% to 39%		to potentially provide a common planning	common planning	their scores on assessments	
10 <sup>th</sup> Math: 33% to 28%		period with the content teachers and allow	periods and content-		
11 <sup>th</sup> Science: 73% to 65%		individual teachers to specialize in content	focused SpEd teachers		
11 <sup>th</sup> Social Studies: 73% to 65%		strands			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
11 <sup>th</sup> Combined Writing: 45% to 39%	KCWP 4: Review,	Utilize some of the Teacher Planning Days to	Student scores on	Quarterly review of assessments	\$0
	Analyze and Apply	schedule meetings with the SpEd caseload	assessments and		
	Data.	teachers and the content teachers to review	benchmark tests		
	Gap Group Analysis	the IEP and accommodations and to discuss			
		specific instructional techniques that would be			
		beneficial to each student.			

#### **4: English Learner Progress**

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.):

For the 2021-2022 school year, Walton-Verona High School currently had three students identified as English Learners. Under KDE's reporting protocol for accountability results, the data for this group was suppressed. To support the EL students at WVHS, we have partnered with the Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational Services (NKCES) for support services offered by their English Learner Consultant. NKCES provides their consultant for a few hours a week to work with our students and teachers. The Co-Op also conducts all ACCESS testing and helps develop accommodations to make the students successful. WVHS also provides all EL students access to assistive technology like Google Translate and Read Write Gold, along with other technology as recommended. Newly arriving students use the Rosetta Stone software program to help them learn English.

Walton-Verona High School will continue to provide any recommended support for our EL students that are recommended by our NKCES EL consultant. As the number of EL students enrolled in WVHS and the district continues to increase, we will reevaluate student needs and adjust our EL program as necessary. As the number of EL students increases, the school/district will have to evaluate the need for our own EL support position to provide the level of intervention necessary to achieve optimal SL transition.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

# 5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

By May of 2025, Walton-Verona High School students will report an average rating of 76% overall Question Index Score on the Spring KSA Climate and Safety survey.

• 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> grade WVHS students reported an average rating of 69.56% overall Questions Index Score on the Spring KSA Climate and Safety survey.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By May of 2023, WVHS students will report an average rating of 72% overall Question Index Score on the Spring KSA Climate and Safety survey.	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Develop a sense of belonging for each student through the Focus groups. Focus groups will utilize team-building activities and a mentor/mentee relationship to engrain in students that they have a caring and safe adult looking out for them at WVHS. Foster a sense of belonging for all students through our offering of various Engagement opportunities (clubs) that appeal to a wide variety of students.	Increase the Question Index Score on the Climate and Safety survey.  Ensure students have at least one club they are attending.	Quarterly meetings with grade-level focus teachers to provide feedback on planned activities and develop engaging activities and produce social-emotional learning lessons for the Focus period Monitor each club's attendance rates in the SOS system to determine student interests and participation.	\$500
Objective 2 By May of 2023, WVHS students will report an average rating of 65% overall Question Index Score on the Spring KSA Climate and Safety survey question that reads, "Students from this school respect each other's differences (i.e., gender, culture, race, religion, ability)." The 2022 score was 53.8%	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Implement lessons in our Focus period to address diversity, acceptance, and the idea of tolerance and acceptance (not necessarily agreement).	Increase the overall Questions Index Score on the KSA Climate and Safety survey for the question "Students from this school respect each other's differences."	Annual scores on Climate and Safety survey.	\$0

# 6: Postsecondary Readiness (high school only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

By the conclusion of the 2025-2026 school year, WVHS's overall Postsecondary Readiness rate will be 98% or higher:

• 12<sup>th</sup> grade Postsecondary Transition Readiness will show at least 98% of students have earned transition status through one of the available methods - 2022 results 12<sup>th</sup> Transition Readiness was 89.7%

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 2: Design and	ACT Flex Workshops - Designated teachers will	The number of students removed from the	The number of students who use	\$1000 ESS
By May of 2023,	Deliver Instruction.	offer improvement sessions during Flex time or	senior year remediation classes after	their Flex time to help improve	
95.1% of graduating		after school to assist juniors and seniors who	meeting the ACT benchmarks.	their ACT score.	
seniors will earn the		have not hit the benchmark and would like to			
label of Transition		take the ACT again.			
Ready through one		Strong instruction aligned with the ACT rotation	Students demonstrating an increase in their	Reviewing and analyzing pre and	\$0
of the available		class for Juniors.	Pre and Post assessment scores within the	post assessment scores at the end	
routes.			individual ACT content area rotations	of each content rotation	
		Intervention Courses - Seniors who do not meet	The number of students removed from the	Monitoring ACT and KYOTE scores	\$0
		the benchmark in ACT areas will be placed in	senior year remediation classes after	as they become available.	
		credit-bearing courses their senior year to	meeting the ACT benchmark.		
		remediate material and reach the benchmark.			
		ACT Boot Camp - Offer an ACT Boot Camp to	The number of students attending ACT Boot	ACT Boot Camp sign-in sheets and	\$2,000
		prepare students for the March ACT	Camp sessions.	rosters	
		KYOTE Testing – Juniors not meeting	The number of students passing KYOTE tests	ACT Year over Year data tracking	\$450 to
		benchmark scores on their March ACT and	that can be removed from the intervention	sheet to record student scores and	compensate the
		slated for enrollment in the Senior intervention	courses.	growth	ELA teacher for
		courses will take the KYOTE test in the			being certified to
		necessary subjects at the end of their junior			grade the KYOTE
		year and again in the first semester of their			writing and to
		senior year.			grade the KYOTE
					writing
					assessments
					outside of the
					school day.
		ACT - Seniors enrolled in the intervention	The number of students reaching ACT	ACT Year over Year data tracking	\$1,200
		courses will have the option to take the ACT in	benchmark scores and removed from the	sheet to record student scores and	
		December	intervention courses.	growth	

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

By the conclusion of the 2025-2026 school year, WVHS's overall Postsecondary Readiness rate will be 98% or higher:

• 12<sup>th</sup> grade Postsecondary Transition Readiness will show at least 98% of students have earned transition status through one of the available methods - 2022 results 12<sup>th</sup> Transition Readiness was 89.7%

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		EdReady – Students not meeting ACT benchmark scores and who did not pass the KYOTE test their senior year will complete the EdReady assessment and the individualized instructional pathway based on their diagnostic screener. A completed EdReady assessment and pathway will also make these students eligible to enroll in courses at Gateway that would have traditionally required remedial classes.	Number of students tested using the EdReady assessment and successfully completed their individualized pathway.	ACT Year over Year data tracking sheet to record student scores and growth	\$0
Objective 2 Provide opportunities for students to earn Career Ready status	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards. Developing opportunities for students to attend the Area Career Technical Center	ATC - Develop individualized class schedules allowing students to attend the local ATC to take courses and earn industry certifications and complete dual credit classes.	Student enrollment numbers at the ATC	Quarterly check-in with ATC staff to ensure continued success and maintain enrollments	\$0
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards. Developing opportunities for students to earn various EOP and Industry Certifications in the available CTE pathways at Walton-Verona High School	CTE - Develop individualized class schedules allowing students to take multiple CTE classes offered within the WVHS career pathways of Business, Agriculture, and Family Consumer Science and earn industry certifications.	Student enrollments and retention numbers in the various CTE pathways at WVHS.	Semester check-in with the WVHS CTE staff to review enrollment numbers, students' performance and retention of students when scheduling course requests for the next school year.	\$0

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

By the conclusion of the 2025-2026 school year, WVHS's overall Postsecondary Readiness rate will be 98% or higher:

• 12<sup>th</sup> grade Postsecondary Transition Readiness will show at least 98% of students have earned transition status through one of the available methods - 2022 results 12<sup>th</sup> Transition Readiness was 89.7%

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Kı	CWP 1: Design and	Gateway - Develop individualized class	Student enrollment numbers at Gateway	Quarterly checking with WVHS	\$0
D	eploy Standards.	schedules allowing students to attend the	enrolled in dual credit classes and earning	students enrolled in Gateway	
D	eveloping opportunities	Gateway Community and Technical College to	industry certifications	classes and the number of students	
fc	or students to attend	take dual credit courses and earn industry		earning industry certifications	
G	Sateway Technical.	certifications.			

# 7: Graduation Rate (high school only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.)

By 2025, Walton-Verona High School will maintain a 100% graduation rate for students earning their traditional high school diploma. (4-year cohort for traditional high school students)

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
maintain a graduation rate of 100%  Teachers and Team will mo performance graduation re	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Teachers and Administration	Quarterly meetings with the administration team to evaluate senior standings.	Documentation of quarterly meetings with targeted students to meet with as needed.	Quarterly reports that monitor the progression of students each quarter.	\$0
	Team will monitor seniors' performance to meet graduation requirements.	Quarterly meetings with teachers to implement plans for struggling seniors.	Documentation of quarterly meetings and implementation of improvement plans.	Monitoring of grades at appropriate intervals by the administration team.	\$0
		Teachers have access to a list of all seniors and the courses each senior must complete successfully to earn a high school diploma.	A comprehensive list of all seniors and credits needed is made available to the staff.	Monitoring of grades at appropriate intervals by designated staff members and the administration team.	\$0
	KDWP 5: Design, Align, and Deliver Support Processes	Utilize the Bridge Alternative program to support students that require a higher level of academic or behavioral support to successfully earn their diploma.	Percentage of students placed within the Bridge program who either transition back to the high school in their anticipated timeframe or who earn their diploma while at the Bridge.	Continuous monitoring of grades, attendance, behavior writeups, and the social-emotional needs of students.	\$0

# 8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):						
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding	
Objective 1						
Objective 2						

### Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

#### **Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:**

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

### **Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:**

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response:

# Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

### **Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:**

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

### **TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	

### Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process
Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how
identified resource inequities will be addressed.
Response:

### **Evidence-based Practices**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support

and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	$\boxtimes$